Questionnaire

for the beneficiaries of the measure "Diversification of activities and developing economic activities to provide alternative incomes (1306)"

General questions pertaining to the implementation of the	ie SAPAI	RD
programme		
1. Please indicate the measure(s) for which you have submitted your proponumber of proposals submitted for that measure.	osal, as wel	l as the
1.1. Supporting investments of agricultural enterprises (111)		
1.2. Improving the processing and marketing of agricultural and fishery products (114)		
1.3. Development and renovation of villages and protection and conservation of the rural heritage (1305)		
1.4. Diversification of activities and developing economic activities to provide alternative incomes (1306)		
1.5. Improvement of rural infrastructure (1308)		
institutions carrying out the programme (excluding personal and telep SAPARD Office/ARDA)? 2.1. I have been informed and participated;	hone suppo	ort by the
2.2. I have been informed but have not participated;	0	
2.3. I have not been informed;	0	
3. Evaluate on a scale of 1 to 5 (1=poor, 5=excellent) the helpfulness of the inby the SAPARD Office/ARDA concerning the preparation of the proposal. 1 2 3 4 5 5 4 5 4 4 5 5 4 5 5 6 5 5 6 5 6 6 6 6		-
4.1. it has greatly helped in preparing the application;	0	
4.2. it has partly helped in preparing the application;	0	

5. How do you assess the earlier availability of information for potential applicants regarding SAPARD projects? 5.1. excellent; 5.2. good; 5.3. mediocre; 5.4. poor; 5.5. no information available; 6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions? 6.1. television; 6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that	40 24 1 1 1 1 2 4 1 2 4		
regarding SAPARD projects? 5.1. excellent; 5.2. good; 5.3. mediocre; 5.4. poor; 5.5. no information available; 6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions? 6.1. television; 6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	4.3. it has not helped in preparing the application;	O	
5.2. good; 5.3. mediocre; 5.4. poor; 5.5. no information available; 6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions? 6.1. television; 6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	5. How do you assess the earlier availability of information for potent regarding SAPARD projects?	tial applica	ants
5.3. mediocre; 5.4. poor; 5.5. no information available; 6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions? 6.1. television; 6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	5.1. excellent;	0	
5.4. poor; 5.5. no information available; 6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions? 6.1. television; 6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	5.2. good;	\circ	
6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions? 6.1. television; 6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	5.3. mediocre;	\circ	
6. How have you gathered information on SAPARD application conditions? 6.1. television; 6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	5.4. poor;	\circ	
6.1. television; 6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	5.5. no information available;	\circ	
6.2. radio; 6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;		litions?	
6.3. newspapers, magazines; 6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;			
6.4. business partners; 6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;			
6.5. village clerk (village agronomist); 6.6. other: 7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;			
7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;			
7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the conditions of application that 7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;			
7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal; 7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	o.o. oner.		
7.3. have incurred unnecessary expenses for applicants;	7. List all factors, if you have experienced any, concerning the condit that7.1. may have made you reconsider your decision to submit a proposal;7.2. have caused unnecessary delay;	ions of app	olication
7.3. have incurred unnecessary expenses for applicants;			
	7.3. have incurred unnecessary expenses for applicants;		

reparation of your SAFARD application (you can specify more than one)? 1. project planning; 2. finding the external expert; 3. gathering all the required data for the application (supplements); 4. gathering information necessary for the application; 5. the call for proposals had many conditions that were difficult to 6. financing the preparation of the application; 7. tight deadlines; 8. providing for own contribution; How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 1. investment under 50 million HUF: 2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal? 1.1. within 10 days; 1.2. within 20 days; 1.3. within 30 days; 1.4. more than 30 days; 1.5. within 20 days; 1.6. within 20 days; 1.7. within 20 days; 1.8. within 30 days; 1.9. within 30 days; 1.9. within 20 days; 1.1. within 10 days; 1.2. within 20 days; 1.3. within 30 days; 1.4. more than 30 days; 1.5. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing official ertificates. 2.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	. Which of the following tasks have you found the most difficult du	ıring the
3.8. providing for own contribution; 9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.6. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.7. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.8. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.1. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.3. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.4. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.6. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.7. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.8. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.9. investment under 50 million HUF:	•	- C
3.2. finding the external expert; 3.3. gathering all the required data for the application (supplements); 3.4. gathering information necessary for the application; 3.5. the call for proposals had many conditions that were difficult to 3.6. financing the preparation of the application; 3.7. tight deadlines; 3.8. providing for own contribution; 9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.6. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.7. within 10 days; 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. within 30 days; 11.6. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiates certificates.	8.1. project planning;	
3.3. gathering all the required data for the application (supplements); 3.4. gathering information necessary for the application; 3.5. the call for proposals had many conditions that were difficult to 3.6. financing the preparation of the application; 3.7. tight deadlines; 3.8. providing for own contribution; 9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF; 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.6. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.7. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.8. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.1. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.2. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.3. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.4. and 500 days; 10.2. within 10 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 10.5. over 500 million HUF investments (certificates)? 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. within 30 days; 11.6. over 500 million HUF investments (certificates)? 12. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiates (certificates).	<u> </u>	
8.4. gathering information necessary for the application; 8.5. the call for proposals had many conditions that were difficult to 8.6. financing the preparation of the application; 8.7. tight deadlines; 8.8. providing for own contribution; 9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.6. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.7. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.8. and over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.1. within 10 days; 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 10.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)? 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 10 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. over 500 million days; 11.6. over 500 million days; 11.7. within 10 days; 11.8. within 30 days; 11.9. over 500 million days; 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. over 500 million days; 11.6. over 500 million days; 11.7. over 500 million days; 11.8. over 500 million days; 11.9. over 500 million days; 11.9. over 500 million days; 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. over 500 million days; 11.6. over 500 million days; 11.7. over 500 million days; 11.8. over 500 million days; 11.9. over 500 million days; 11.9. over 500 million days; 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. vithin 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days;		
8.5. the call for proposals had many conditions that were difficult to 8.6. financing the preparation of the application; 8.7. tight deadlines; 8.8. providing for own contribution; 9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 10. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal? 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. within 30 days; 11.6. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing official certificates. 12.1. Well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	2 2	
8.6. financing the preparation of the application; 8.7. tight deadlines; 8.8. providing for own contribution; 9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11.1. Within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiates certificates. 12.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;		
8.7. tight deadlines; 8.8. providing for own contribution; 9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.6. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.7. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.8. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost? 9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.6. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.7. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.8. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.0. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 milli	8.7. tight deadlines;	
9.1. investment under 50 million HUF: 9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.6. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.7. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.8. over 500 million HUF investment: 9.9. over 500 million HUF investment	8.8. providing for own contribution;	
9.2. 50-100 million HUF investment: 9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: HUI 10. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal? 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. within 30 days; 11.6. within 30 days; 11.7. within 30 days; 11.8. within 30 days; 11.9. within 20 days; 11.1. within 10 days; 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. within 30 days; 11.6. within 30 days; 11.7. within 30 days; 11.8. within 30 days; 11.9. within 30 days;	9. How much did the preparation of the proposal cost?	
9.3. 100-300 million HUF investment: 9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 10. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal? 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)? 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. within 30 days; 11.6. within 30 days; 11.7. within 30 days; 11.8. within 30 days; 11.9. within 30 days; 11.1. within 30 days; 11.1. within 30 days; 11.2. within 30 days;	9.1. investment under 50 million HUF:	HUF
9.4. 300-500 million HUF investment: 10. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal? 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)? 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. within 30 days; 11.6. within 30 days; 11.7. within 30 days; 11.8. within 30 days; 11.9. within 30 days; 11.1. within 30 days; 11.1. within 30 days; 11.2. within 30 days;		
9.5. over 500 million HUF investment: 10. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal? 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. Within 30 days; 11.6. Within 20 days; 11.7. within 30 days; 11.8. within 30 days; 11.9. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiate certificates.		
10. How many days did it take to prepare the proposal? 10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11.1. Within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiate certificates.		
10.1. within 10 days; 10.2. within 20 days; 10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)? 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. within 30 days; 11.6. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiate certificates.	9.5. over 500 million HUF investment:	HUI
10.3. within 30 days; 10.4. more than 30 days; 11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)? 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiatertificates.	10.1. within 10 days;	0
11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)? 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing official certificates.	10.2. within 20 days;	0
11. How many days did it take to acquire the proposal supplements (certificates)? 11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 11.5. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiate certificates.	10.3. within 30 days;	
11.1. within 10 days; 11.2. within 20 days; 11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 12. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiate certificates.	10.4. more than 30 days;	0
11.3. within 30 days; 11.4. more than 30 days; 12. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing officiancertificates. 12.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	11.1. within 10 days;	0
11.4. more than 30 days; 12. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing official certificates. 12.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	•	
12. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institutions issuing official certificates. 12.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	-	
12.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	11.4. more than 30 days;	U
	12. Please give a general assessment of the expertise of the institution certificates.	ons issuing officia
	12.1. well prepared, have provided everything in due time;	
	12.2. prepared to a certain extent, issuing certificates often delayed;	

	
12.3. not adequately prepared;	0
12.4. other, please specify:	0
13. Would you apply again to other programmes providing a	set of conditions and
opportunities similar to those provided by SAPARD?	
13.1. yes - why?	
13.2. no - why not?	
16.2. No why hot.	
Questions pertaining to the applic	cant
Questions pertuining to the applications	Sunt
14. Please indicate the gender of the assistance beneficiaries i	f applicable (individual
14. Please indicate the gender of the assistance beneficiaries is entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other ca	· ·
<u> </u>	ases, the gender of the head
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other ca	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other ca of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project.	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project.	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project.	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project.	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project.	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account.
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other case of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project.	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account.
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other case of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supposestablished?	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account.
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other case of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supposestablished? 15.1. within the past year;	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account.
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other case of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supposestablished? 15.1. within the past year; 15.2. 1-5 years ago;	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account.
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other case of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supposestablished? 15.1. within the past year;	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account.
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other case of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supposestablished? 15.1. within the past year; 15.2. 1-5 years ago;	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account.
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supported by the supported by the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitted your application, when was the support of the submitted your application and your application are submitted your application.	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account. Orted undertaking
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other confidence of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15.1. within the past year; 15.2. 1-5 years ago; 15.3. more than 5 years ago; 16. Please indicate the number of persons employed full-times	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account. Orted undertaking
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other car of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supported by the supported by the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitting your application, when was the support of the submitted your application, when was the support of the submitted your application and your application are submitted your application.	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account. Orted undertaking
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other confidence of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supported by	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account. Orted undertaking e or part-time at your
entrepreneur, natural person, primary producer); in other confidence of the beneficiary organisation. If there has been a change for of the supported project, the time of implementation should be supported project, the time of implementation should be supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15. Prior to submitting your application, when was the supported by undertakings only (questions 15-16) 15.1. within the past year; 15.2. 1-5 years ago; 15.3. more than 5 years ago; 16. Please indicate the number of persons employed full-times	ases, the gender of the head llowing the implementation be taken into account. Orted undertaking

6.5. aged 30-39 6.6. aged 40-60 6.7. aged over 60 Persons Questions pertaining to the supported development/proposal 7. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at 7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic cources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another enders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, mitli the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: we of which: 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or	16.3. male	persons
persons 6.6. aged 40-60 6.7. aged over 60 Questions pertaining to the supported development/proposal 7. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at 7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The relaterender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another ender the same measure inder the same and to another EU tender; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: wto fwhich: 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or make the than those blanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a		<u> </u>
### Questions pertaining to the supported development/proposal 7. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at 7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The relaterender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to another domestic tender; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: ###################################	-	<u> </u>
Questions pertaining to the supported development/proposal 7. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at 7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The relaterender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to another domestic tender; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, mill the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. HUF or % 9.2.1. contribution increase: 10. HUF or % 10. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those channed? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	_	
Questions pertaining to the supported development/proposal 7. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at 7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, mill the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. Utof which: 9.2.1. contribution increase: 11. HUF or 12. HUF or 13. HUF or 14. HUF or 15. HUF or 16. HUF or 17. HUF or 18. HUF		
7. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at 7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or % 0. Huff or % 10. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those channed? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	ion, agea over oo	persons
7. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at 7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or % 0. Huff or % 10. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those channed? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a		
7. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance is aimed at 7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or % 0. Huff or % 10. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those channed? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	0	
7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The relaterender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, mith the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or HUF or % 0. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those channed? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	Questions pertaining to the supported development/p	roposai
7.1. establishing a new activity; 7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The relaterender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, mith the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or HUF or % 0. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those channed? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a		
7.2. further improving an existing activity; 7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The relaterender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, in this the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **with the conclusion increase:** HUF or 9% 9.2.1. contribution increase:** HUF or 9% 9.2.2. incl. loan increase:** HUF or 9% 9.2.2. incl. loan increase:** HUF or 9% 9.2.2. incl. loan increase:** HUF or 9% 9.2.3. incl. loan increase:** HUF or 9% 9.3.4. The substituted as a practical indirect effects or results induced as a practical indirect effects or results induced as a	17. The development you have carried out with SAPARD assistance i	s aimed at
7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade efurbishing); 8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, antil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. In the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 11. WHOF or more increase: 12. Who in the sum of the development has not changed 13. Which: 14. WHOF or more increase: 15. No, please list any practical indirect effects or results other than those channed? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	17.1. establishing a new activity;	\circ
8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure Inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure Inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to another domestic tender; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, antil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: ut of which: 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or % 0. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those channed? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	7.2. further improving an existing activity;	0
8. Is your SAPARD application tied to another tender financed from EU or domestic ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed on the increased of the increase of the project? Pill in the conclusion of the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the funding component of the development has not changed on the project? Pill in the conclusion of the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the funding component of the development has not changed on the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the funding component of the development has not changed on the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns the project? Pill in either the % or the HUF columns the project?	7.3. not applicable (e.g. village renovation measure, facade	
ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, antil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those blanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	refurbishing);	
ources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite thereof)? The related ender may involve a different beneficiary. 8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, antil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those blanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a		
8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure inder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 1. **What is the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those blanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	sources (i.e., it is based on another application or is a prerequisite the	
nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, and the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. Huff or 11. Which: 12. Incontribution increase: 13. Huff or 14. Which: 15. No, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	ender may involve a different beneficiary.	
nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, and the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. Huff or 11. Which: 12. Incontribution increase: 13. Huff or 14. Which: 15. No, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	8.1. yes, it is related to an application submitted for the same measure	
nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. In the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. Huff or 10. Huff or 11. Which: 12. In the funding component of the development has not changed 13. In the funding component of the development has not changed 14. In the funding component of the development has not changed 15. In the funding component of the development has not changed 16. In the funding component of the development has not changed 17. In the funding component of the development has not changed 18. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component	under the SAPARD Programme;	
nder the SAPARD Programme; 8.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender; 8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. In the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. Huff or 10. Huff or 11. Which: 12. In the funding component of the development has not changed 13. In the funding component of the development has not changed 14. In the funding component of the development has not changed 15. In the funding component of the development has not changed 16. In the funding component of the development has not changed 17. In the funding component of the development has not changed 18. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component of the development has not changed 19. In the funding component	18.2. yes, it is related to an application submitted for a different measure	
8.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender; 8.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders; 9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, antil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending in which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: 10. Huff or 11. The funding component of the development has not changed 12. The necessary own resources have increased: 13. The funding component of the development has not changed 14. The funding component of the development has not changed 15. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 16. The funding component of the development has not changed 17. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component of the development has not changed 18. The funding component has no	under the SAPARD Programme;	
9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, and the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: HUF or % 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or % 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or % 9.2.3. incl. loan increase: HUF or % 9.4.4. The investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those clanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	18.3. yes, it is related to another EU tender;	
9. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if yes, to what extent, intil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: **Output Development the work of the HUF or the work of the development has not changed **Output Development has not changed *	18.4. yes, it is related to another domestic tender;	
antil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or % 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: O. HuF or % 9.2.3. incl. loan increase: ###################################	18.5. no, it is not related to any other tenders;	
antil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or % 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: O. HuF or % 9.2.3. incl. loan increase: ###################################		
antil the conclusion of the project? Fill in either the % or the HUF columns, depending on which data row fits you better. 9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or % 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: O. HuF or % 9.2.3. incl. loan increase: ###################################	10. Has the funding component of the development changed, and if w	as to what autout
9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:** 9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or HUF or 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: 40. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those clanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a		
9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed 9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:* 9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: 4.0. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those clanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a		numis, acpenang
9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:* 9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or HUF or % **O. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those clanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	men ada 10 v 1165 jou setter.	
9.2. the necessary own resources have increased: **ut of which:* 9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or HUF or % **O. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those clanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	9.1. the funding component of the development has not changed	
9.2.1. contribution increase: 9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or HUF or % % % O. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those clanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	9.2. the necessary own resources have increased:	\circ
9.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or % O. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those clanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	out of which:	
0. Has the investment brought about any useful "spin-off" results other than those clanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	<u>·</u>	%
lanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a	19.2.2. incl. loan increase: HUF or	%
lanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a		
lanned? If so, please list any practical indirect effects or results induced as a		
onsequence of the investment.		iced as a
	consequence of the investment.	

20.1. no;	\circ	
20.2. yes;	0	
Additional results:		
		Ì
		J
To be completed by undertakings only (questions 21-23)		
21. Please indicate whether the activity targeted by the supported dev	velopment	is
		T
21.1. your principal activity, and you have no other sources of income;	0	
21.2. your principal activity but you have additional sources of income, which in total do <i>not</i> exceed your income drawn from the supported	0	
principal activity;		
21.3. your principal activity but you have additional sources of income,		
which in total <i>exceed</i> your income drawn from the supported principal		
activity;	0	
21.4. not your principal activity, and the income drawn from it does <i>not</i>		
exceed 50% of the total income of the undertaking;	\circ	
21.5. not your principal activity, and the income drawn from it <i>exceeds</i>		
50% of the total income of the undertaking;	\circ	
e one of the count means of the onestimany,		
22 DI	4 •4	4• B
22. Please indicate the effect of the SAPARD assistance on the employ	yment situ	ation of
your undertaking.		
22.1. the employment situation has not changed;		1
22.2. the employment situation has improved;	\bigcirc	
which means:		ı
22.2.1.the number of jobs created directly or indirectly as a result of the		persons
assistance:		1
22.2.2. the number of women employed:		persons
22.2.3. the number of employees aged below 30:		persons
		4 ~
22 Has the commetitiveness of very undertaking immuoued as a result	4 of the ear	-i-4
23. Has the competitiveness of your undertaking improved as a result	t of the ass	sistance?
23.1. yes, it has improved;	0	
23.2. no change;	0	
	<u> </u>	,

23.3. no, it has deteriorated;	\cap	
Please specify briefly what you perceive as improvement or deterioration		
Questions specific to the measures		
1306 Diversification of activities and developing economic acti	vities to r	rovide
alternative incomes	vines to p	oroviac
aternative medicis		
24. To what extent has the supported activity contributed to increasing	ng the non	_
agricultural income of the undertaking?	is the non	
wgg		
24.1. it has significantly contributed;	0	
24.2. it has contributed but not to a significant extent;	\circ	
24.3. it has not contributed;	\circ	
24.4. no increase in income, due to an external negative effect	0	
independent of SAPARD assistance;		
		-'
25. Please estimate the percentage of non-agricultural income of the u	ındertakir	ıg
before the investment:		
25.1. percentage of income derived from tourism		%
25.2. percentage of income derived from handicraft and small-scale trade		%
25.3. percentage of income derived from the production of local,		%
traditional products		70
25.4. percentage of income derived from other, non-agricultural		%
activities		70
after the investment:		
25.5. percentage of income derived from tourism		%
25.6. percentage of income derived from handicraft and small-scale trade		%
25.7. percentage of income derived from the production of local,		%
traditional products		, •
25.8. percentage of income derived from other, non-agricultural		%
activities		

26. Please fill in the number of jobs created or maintained directly be indicating full- and part-time employment. Please provide the most into account only the actual jobs kept or created as a result of the sudevelopment activity.	recent data, taking
Jobs created:	
26.1. in total:	persons
out of which	
26.1.1. positions filled by women	persons
26.1.2. employed full-rime	persons
26.1.3. employed part-time	persons
Jobs kept:	
26.2. in total:	persons
out of which	
26.2.1. positions filled by women	persons
26.2.2. employed full-rime	persons
26.2.3. employed part-rime	persons
Date:	